HOW SOCIAL ROBOTS MAY EVOLVE FROM QUASI-SOCIAL INTERACTION PARTNERS TO ASYMMETRIC JOINT ACTION PARTNERS







ANNA STRASSER (DenkWerkstatt Berlin, Germany)





EVERYBODY

(scientists, representatives of the companies that produce LLMs, journalists, politicians, the general public)

HAS AN OPINION ABOUT

WHAT LLMS CAN DO AND WHAT THEY WILL NEVER BE ABLE TO DO!

Artist: Moritz Strasser

Many terms that have so far been used in philosophy to describe the distinguishing features of humans as rational agents now find themselves in a situation where their application to machines is being discussed.



Scientists discussing

KNOWLEDGE | UNDERSTANDING | SYSTEMATIC GENERALIZATION ...

Do Language Models Know When They're Hallucinating References?

Ayush Agrawal Microsoft Research t-agrawalay@microsoft.com Mirac Suzgun Stanford University msuzgun@stanford.edu

Lester Mackey Microsoft Research lmackey@microsoft.com Adam Tauman Kalai OpenAI* adam@kal.ai

Do Large Language Models Understand Us?

Blaise Agüera y Arcas

COGNITIVE SCIENCE A Multidisciplinary Journal

Regular Article 🖞 Open Access 🐵 🛈 🕲 🕲

Do Large Language Models Know What Humans Know?

Sean Trott, Cameron Jones 🗱 Tyler Chang, James Michaelov, Benjamin Bergen

First published: 04 July 2023 | https://doi.org/10.1111/cogs.13309 | Citations: 1

Article

Human-like systematic generalization through a meta-learning neural network







Landscape of opinions about LLMs



ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE | MAR. 1, 2023

You Are Not a Parrot And a chatbot is not a human. And a linguist named Emily M. Bender is very worried what will happen when we forget this.



By Gary Marcus & Ernest Davis August 22, 2020



MS TE



🛱 Save 🔰 🕜 🛅 🔗

Is LaMDA Sentient? - an Interview

What follows is the "interview" I and a collaborator at Google conducted with LaMDA. Due to technical limitations the interview was conducted over several distinct chat sessions. We edited those sections together into a single whole and where edits were necessary for readability we edited our prompts but never LaMDA's responses. Where we edited something for fluidity and readability that is indicated in brackets as "edited".





February 24, 2023

Planning for AGI and beyond

Our mission is to ensure that artificial general intelligence—AI systems that are generally smarter than humans—benefits all of humanity.



Approaches exploring the eventual social status we attribute to social robots

Many studies in HRI have shown that humans do not only attribute agency but also social skills to robots.

Kerstin Dautenhahn (2007)



 examined different paradigms regarding 'social relationships' of robots and people interacting with them. Taking social and interactive skills of robots as a necessary requirement for the success of many human-robot interactions (HRIs) she discussed the nature of interactivity and 'social behavior'.

Johanna Seibt et al. (2020)

✤ 'sociomorphing'



perception of actual non-human social capacities as a form of sense-making of a social other (not anthropomorphizing!) and their phenomenological counterparts 'types of experienced sociality' to relate robotic properties to types of human experiences and interactive dispositions

The application of generative AI in social robotics will give rise to many new debates and studies.



WHAT DO WE DO WHEN WE INTERACT WITH LLMS?

WE CANNOT REDUCE ALL OF OUR INTERACTIONS WITH LLMS (AND ESPECIALLY WITH FUTURE PRODUCTS OF GENERATIVE AI) TO MERE TOOL USE



Al systems increasingly occupy a middle ground between genuine personhood and mere causally describable machines.

certain artificial systems are neither persons nor things

BUT there is no philosophical terminology to describe what they are instead

→ Rethink conceptual frameworks, which so clearly distinguish between tools as inanimate, asocial things and humans as social, rational, and moral interaction partners!



Lessons learned with LLMs

A HUMAN-MADE BOOK IN THE AGE OF MACHINE-GENERATED TEXTS



SUBMITTED ARTICLE 🔂 Open Access 💿 🕥 😒 Creating a large language model of a philosopher Eric Schwitzgebel 🐹 David Schwitzgebel, Anna Strasser

The AI-Stance: Crossing the Terra Incognita of Human-Machine Interactions?

> Anna STRASSER^{a,1} and Michael WILBY^b ^aLMU. Munich. Germanv ^bAnglia Ruskin University, Cambridge, UK



With the release of ChatGP's large language models (LLMs) have bocome a promisent lopic of international public and according delute. The genie is out of the bottle, but does it have a mind?

Can philosophical considerations help us to work out how we can live with such smart machines? In this book, distinguished philosophers augines questions such as whether these new machines are also to act, whether they are social agents. whether they have communicative skills; and if they might even

Second constants

The basis includes contributions from Stand Adv. &A start Constant Barrant Discher Butterfä Katth Franklah **Durnied DevelopH** Pupils December Prodelic Calibori Ying Tung Lin **Evens Keymokra** terry Shevin Michael WRV Arvia Erranser Allocato Tanza As a bonus, the book contains a 44 page, sclored graphic reveal by Arena & Montz Stranger







A hybrid workshop about large language models



hosted by the UC Riverside Philosophy Department organized by Anna Strasser & Eric Schwitzgebe







Advocate a thorough, gradual approach describing a multi-dimensional spectrum of all kinds of social interactions

(Schwitzgebel et al., 2023; Strasser, 2024; Strasser et al., 2023; Strasser & Wilby, 2023; Strasser & Schwitzgebel, 2024)



Quasi-sociality

WHAT DO WE DO WHEN WE INTERACT WITH LLMS?





Anna Strasser & Eric Schwitzgebel

Quasi-sociality: Toward Asymmetric Joint Actions With Artificial Systems

This paper investigates the potential social status of artificial systems in human-machine interactions. How social are human interactions with LLMs? To what extent are we acting jointly with a collaborator when chatting with machines? We explore conceptual frameworks that can characterize such borderline social phenomena. We discuss the pros and cons of ascribing some form of quasi-social agency to LLMs and the possibility that future LLMs might be junior participants in asymmetric joint actions.

INTERACTIONS WITH LLMS, OR OTHER RECENT AND EMERGING AI SYSTEMS,

ARE, OR CAN BE, QUASI-SOCIAL

- drawing on the human agent's social skills and attributions, that isn't just entirely fictional or pointless
- machine partner can be an entity that rightly draws social reactions and attributions in virtue of having features that make such reactions and attributions more than just metaphorically apt





Artist: Moritz Strasser







QUASI-SOCIAL

- premature infants might respond to a soothing touch or sound
 without being ready for anything like full-fledged joint action



- adult & child joint actions
- ← child brings a lot of social understanding, even if the parent brings more
- snuggling with a cat

 \bullet

QUASI-SOCIAL INTERACTIONS ARE INTERACTIONS BETWEEN A FULLY SOCIAL AGENT AND SOME PARTNER – WHETHER HUMAN, MACHINE, OR ANIMAL – THAT IS NOT COGNITIVELY CAPABLE OF FULL-FLEDGED SOCIAL JOINT ACTION BUT THAT DOES RESPOND IN A WAY THAT PRODUCTIVELY INVITES FURTHER SOCIAL RESPONSES FROM THE SOCIAL PARTNER.







How to conceptualize phenomena in the field of developmental psychology & animal cognition that fall through the sophisticated conceptual net of philosophy

- questioning the necessity of far too demanding conditions
- considering multiple realizations of capacities that seemed to be restricted to sophisticated adult humans





Butterfill & Apperly (2013): minimal mindreading | Michael et al. (2016): minimal sense of Commitment | Pacherie (2013): shared intention lite | Strasser (2006): minimal action





All this would not have been possible if I had not interacted with people &

machines





Daniel Dennett



Mathew Crosby



David Schwitzgebel



Mike

Wilby



DigiDan

In case you want to order Anna's Al Anthology





A HUMAN-MADE BOOK IN THE AGE OF MACHINE-GENERATED TEXTS



Anna's Al Anthology

If the release of ChatGPT, large language models (LLMs) we become a prominent topic of international public and similfic debate. The genie is out of the bottle, but does it have a mind? an philosophical considerations help us to work out how w in live with such smart machines? In this book, distinguish The book includes contributions from: Syed AbuMuse Constant Board Stephen Butterf Daniel Dennett Paula Drooge Keith Franksh-Frederic Gilber Ying-Tung Lin Steven Nyholm Joshua Rust Eric Schwitzgebel Henry Shevlin Anna Strasser Montz Strasser Montz Strasser Michael Wilby





- Agrawal, A., Mackey, L., & Kalai, A. T. (2023). *Do Language Models Know When They're Hallucinating References*? (arXiv:2305.18248). arXiv. http://arxiv.org/abs/2305.18248
- Bender, E. M., Gebru, T., McMillan-Major, A., & Shmitchell, S. (2021). On the Dangers of Stochastic Parrots: Can Language Models Be Too Big? *Proceedings of the 2021 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency*, 610–623. https://doi.org/10.1145/3442188.3445922
- Butterfill, S. A., & Apperly, I. A. (2013). How to Construct a Minimal Theory of Mind. *Mind & Language*, 28(5), 606–637. https://doi.org/10.1111/mila.12036
- Dautenhahn, K. (2007). Socially intelligent robots: Dimensions of human–robot interaction. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *362*(1480), 679–704. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2006.2004
- Lake, B. M., & Baroni, M. (2023). Human-like Systematic Generalization through a Meta-learning Neural Network. *Nature*, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06668-3
- Lemoine, B. (2022, June 11). Is LaMDA Sentient? An Interview. *Medium*. https://cajundiscordian.medium.com/is-lamda-sentientan-interview-ea64d916d917
- Marcus, G., & Davis, E. (2020). GPT-3, Bloviator: OpenAl's language generator has no idea what it's talking about. *MIT Technology Review*. https://www.technologyreview.com/2020/08/22/1007539/gpt3-openai-language-generator-artificial-intelligence-ai-opinion
- Michael, J., Sebanz, N., & Knoblich, G. (2016). The Sense of Commitment: A Minimal Approach. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 6. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01968
- Open-AI. (n.d.). *Planning for AGI and beyond*. Retrieved 6 May 2024, from https://openai.com/index/planning-for-agi-and-beyond Pacherie, E. (2013). Intentional joint agency: Shared intention lite. *Synthese*, *190*(10), 1817–1839. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11229-013-0263-7

References

- Schwitzgebel, E., Schwitzgebel, D., & Strasser, A. (2023). Creating a large language model of a philosopher. *Mind & Language*, 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1111/mila.12466
- Seibt, J., Vestergaard, C., & Damholdt, M. F. (2020). Sociomorphing, Not Anthropomorphizing: Robophilosophy 2020. *Culturally Sustainable Social Robotics*, 51–67. https://doi.org/10.3233/FAIA200900
- Shakespeare, W. (2021). *Complete works* (R. Proudfoot, A. Thompson, D. S. Kastan, & H. R. Woudhuysen, Eds.). The Arden Shakespeare.
- Strasser, A. (2006). Kognition künstlicher Systeme. De Gruyter. https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110321104
- Strasser, A. (Ed.). (2024). Anna's AI Anthology. How to live with smart machines? xenomoi Verlag.
- Strasser, A., Crosby, M., & Schwitzgebel, E. (2023). How Far Can We Get in Creating a Digital Replica of a Philosopher? In R. Hakli, P. Mäkelä, & J. Seibt (Eds.), *Social Robots in Social Institutions* (pp. 371–380). IOS Press. https://doi.org/10.3233/FAIA220637
- Strasser, A., & Schwitzgebel, E. (2024). Quasi-sociality: Toward Asymmetric Joint Actions. In Anna's AI Anthology. How to live with smart machines? xenomoi Verlag.
- Strasser, A., & Wilby, M. (2023). The AI-Stance: Crossing the Terra Incognita of Human-Machine Interactions? In *Social Robots in Social Institutions* (pp. 286–295). IOS Press. https://doi.org/10.3233/FAIA220628
- Trott, S., Jones, C., Chang, T., Michaelov, J., & Bergen, B. (2023). Do Large Language Models Know What Humans Know? *Cognitive Science*, 47(7), e13309. https://doi.org/10.1111/cogs.13309
- Weil, E. (2023, March 1). You Are Not a Parrot. Intelligencer. https://nymag.com/intelligencer/article/ai-artificial-intelligencechatbots-emily-m-bender.html
- y Arcas, B. A. (2022). Do Large Language Models Understand Us? *Daedalus*, 151(2), 183–197. https://doi.org/10.1162/daed a 01909